

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/467,364 12/20/1999		Xiaode Xu	2821.1000000 2283		
21005 7	7590 06/28/2004	EXAMINER			
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			HOANG, THAI D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2667	100	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004	, /5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/467,36	4	XU ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Thai D Ho	ang	2667			
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTE THE MAILI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec earned paten	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC of time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this community for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) for reply is specified above, the maximum statuty ity within the set or extended period for reply with elived by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenication. days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be tim story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed	on Amendment file	<u>d on 04/15/2004</u> .				
· 	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
·—							
close	d in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	<i>ayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of	Claims						
4a) O 5)⊠ Clain 6)⊠ Clain 7)⊠ Clain	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ✓ Claim(s) 21 is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-6,8-16,18-20,22-28 and 30-32 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) 7,17 and 29 is/are objected to. ✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Pa	ipers						
10) The d Applic	pecification is objected to by the rawing(s) filed on is/are: a cant may not request that any objecticement drawing sheet(s) including that or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or b) ion to the drawing(s) b he correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	• •		
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) <u></u> All 1.□ 2.□ 3.□	owledgment is made of a claim for b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority do Certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of application from the International e attached detailed Office action	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)							
	ferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
3) Information	aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P' /Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		·-152)		

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-6, 8-16, 18-20, 22-28 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McGowan et al. (US Patent No. 5937345).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 10-12, 22-24, McGowan teaches: a mobile station 314 communicating at the signaling layer 206 (network layer respectively) (Figure 2A), where the message sent goes through the RR (Radio Resource), MM (Mobility Management) and Call connection unit which is part of the CM (Connection Management) unit 306 (Col 4, lines 56-57 and Col 5, lines 15-20). Furthermore, figure 6 and col. 6 lines 29-34, the reference discloses the call is directly routed from the RR 414 to call interception unit 412 without passing MM layer 416 and CM layer 424.

Art Unit: 2667

Regarding claims 3, 13 and 25, the lower levels 204 and 202 are shown as part of the routing process employed by the message routing system 200.

Regarding claims 4, 8, 14, 18, 26 and 30, McGowan teaches: routing first to a RR function then to a MM function then getting to the CM portion of the system (follow the arrow direction of data flow), coming from an uplink direction from a mobile station 314 (fig. 3).

Regarding claims 5-6, 15-16 and 27-28, McGowan teaches: the downlink message is shown to travel from the CM portion of the layers of the system to the call interception unit 412 in the direction of the mobile station 426, no where is any acknowledgement required in this system (Figure 5).

Regarding claims 9, 19 and 31, McGowan teaches: an MSC in the system of this embodiment (Fig. 3) and (Col 2, line 63), and a BSC 124, and BTS 122, (Col 3, lines 32-33).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7, 17 and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 21 is allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

McGowan et al., US Patent No. 5,937,345 disclose a Method and apparatus for intercepting calls in a communications system. McGowan does not teach or fairly

Art Unit: 2667

suggest the following features, which are recited in the independent claim 21 of the present application:

A network layer messaging multiplexer apparatus that processes network layer messages within a wireless communication system, the network layer including within it certain functional layers, including a radio resource function, a mobility management function, and a connection management function with at least the radio resource function being normally assumed to be a transport mechanism for the mobility management and connection management functions with a data link layer, the apparatus comprising:

a first interface that enables the routing of network layer messages to said radio resource functional layer;

a second interface that enables the routing of network layer messages to said mobility management functional layer;

a third interface that enables the routing of network layer messages to said connection management functional layer;

a fourth interface that enables the routing of network layer messages from said data link layer; and

a multiplexer function that examines a network message to determine, prior to routing it to any functional layer, whether it is associated with connection management, mobility management, or radio resource management and routs the message directly to the respective connection management, mobility management, or radio resource

Art Unit: 2667

management functional layer, without passing the message through each of the functional layers.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 04/15/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 11 of the remarks, Applicants argue that the reference does not teach the routing of massages to the RR, MM or CM functional layers (lines 7-8). Examiner believes the handover message must be contained a routing information because the path has been changed. In addition, lines 18-20, Applicants direct to col. 3, lines 36-38 and argue "the handover message referred to is only a copy of the message because the CIS only "monitors" the target call", Examiner could not found any information as shown above at col. 3, lines 36-38 of the reference.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai D Hoang whose telephone number is (703) 305-3232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on (703) 305-4378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2667

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thai Hoang

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 6/25/01